## Determinants

Last Time: Comptational Introduction to Determinants.

Lo Cofactor Expansion Formula (AKA Laplace Expansion Formula)

Ly Many Examples ...

Lo Determinants of Elementry Matrices. &

Recall: Let (Pi,j) = -1 (i = j)

 $4 - \det(M_i(k)) = k$   $\det(A_{i,i}(k)) = 1$ 

Defor The nown determinant function is the function det: Maxn -> TR satisfying these conditions:

- 10 det (P1, 12, ..., Klit Pj, ..., ln) = det (1, 12, ..., ln).
  - ② det  $(\ell_1, \ell_2, ..., \ell_{i-1}, \ell_j)$   $(i+1, ..., \ell_{j-1}, \ell_i)$   $(i+1, ..., \ell_n)$   $= det (\ell_1, \ell_2, ..., \ell_n)$ .
  - 3 det (p, p, m, kli, m, p) = k det (l, m, p)
  - $\oplus$   $d+(I_n)=1.$

NB: The above properties are indeed satisfied by the Cofactor Expension formula... (Hey're a lat mosty to pove.) Point: determinants are computable using row operations !  $E_{\times}$ : Compute det  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 & -5 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 5 \\ 5 & 10 & 15 & 20 \end{bmatrix}$ = 5 det [1 0 -1 3]
= 5 det [1 0 -1 3]
= 5 det [1 0 -1 3]
= 5 det [0 0 4 -14]
= 5 det [0 2 4 2]
= 5 det [0 2 4 1] = 5.(-1) det 0 2 4 2 0 0 4 - 14 0 2 4 1 you echelon form, = -5 det [ 0 0 -1 3 ] vour echelon toun,

o 0 4 -14 ] "eliminate input of" miliple = -5 (2)(4)(-1) det (I4) = -5.2.4.-1.1 = 40

Exercise: Compute det (M) above via Cofactor expansion...

$$= 4 \frac{1}{3} dut \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 39 & 93 \\ 0 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \in$$

$$= \frac{4}{3} \det \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 67 \\ 0 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{4}{3} \det \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 67 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= -\frac{4}{3} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 67 \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{4}{3} (-1) (3) (67) dt \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$=-\frac{4}{3}(-1)(3)(67)\cdot 1=268$$

回

Echelon form.

## Sol 2 (Via Cofador Exprusion):

$$At \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 5 \\ 5 & 8 & 6 \\ 3 & 9 & -7 \end{bmatrix} = -At \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 6 \\ 9 & -7 \end{bmatrix} - At \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 3 & -7 \end{bmatrix} + 5At \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 8 \\ 3 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= -(8 - 7 - 6.9) - (5.-7 - 6.3) + 5(5.9 - 3.8)$$

$$= -(-56 - 54) - (-35 - 18) + 5(45 - 24)$$

$$= 110 + 53 + 5(21) = 163 + 105 = 268$$

Prop: The cofactor Expansion Founda and the properties of det given at the beginning of the lecture determine the some quantity for every 1x11 metrix. In particular, the determinant function is given by either.

1/2

Propilet L: RM-> RM be a livear transformation.

Let [L] be the intrix of L

with respect to the standard basis

on RM (i.e. [L] = [L(e,) | L(e\_2) | ... | L(e)].

The determinant old [L] is the "signed volume"

of the box dehrand by {L(e,), L(e,2), ..., L(e,3).

Piche M R

ex

ex

L(e,2)

L(e,2), L(e,3), L(e,3)

box leterminal

by [L(e,1), L(e,3)].

NB: Proof on Hel for the, see Hefteron... Exi Let L: R2 -> R2 have motox [23] Bux" = "parellolopiped" Jest Compthle. det [23] = 2-3 = -1 = ... Aren = [-1] = 1 1 Lor: The determinant is multiplicative, I.E. For A, B + Mnxn we had det(AB) = det(A) det (B). Pf: A and B determine the linear transformations IR"-) R". The product is the metrix of their Composition Then det (AB) = volme of the parallelogiqued detenued by AB(En) = A(BEn). So he see det (AB) = det (A). Volne (parallelopiped give by BEn) = det (A) det (B). poposton ! ND: This isn't particularly surprising... The definition of the determinant given today encodes the conditions det ( product of dem mats) = prod ( dets of the elm mits) ".

Cox: Suppose A is invertible Then det (A') = det (A) pf: If A is murhble, then In=A'A, so 1 = det(In) = let(let(A). Lence dividing both sides by det (A) yiels result. [3] Exercise: Check for [a b] directly ... Cosi Let A be an non metrix. Then det (A) 70 if and only if A is invertible. Pf: If A is invertible, det (A-1). Let (A) = 0, s. let (A) 70. If det (A) #0, then LA: IR"-> IR" determined by A takes the parallelopiped of En to a parallelopiped of nonzero volume. Moreover, if LA(X) = 0 for X 70, then extending Ext to a basis of TR" would yiell

a pardelopiped which mys under Ly to a zero-volue

parallelopipel, hence contradicting the theorem.